

**REMARKS**

Claims 1-12 are pending in the present application, and are rejected.

**Double Patenting**

Claims 1-12 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-2, 4-14 of copending Application No. 10/503,059 in view of Breton et al. (US Patent No. 5,484,475).

The Examiner asserts that although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the co-pending application and the application are claiming common subject matter.

The Examiner concludes that it would have been obvious to modify the ink composition of pending application by the aforementioned teaching of Breton et al. in order to have a rapid drying ink and high quality print.

Applicants file herewith a Terminal Disclaimer in order to obviate the above rejection.

In view of the aforementioned remarks, Applicants submit that that the claims are in condition for allowance. Applicants request such action at an early date.

If the Examiner believes that this application is not now in condition for allowance, the Examiner is requested to contact Applicants' undersigned attorney to arrange for an interview to expedite the disposition of this case.

Application No. 10/500,289  
Attorney Docket No. 042274

Response under 37 C.F.R. §1.111  
Response filed: November 1, 2006

If this paper is not timely filed, Applicants respectfully petition for an appropriate extension of time. The fees for such an extension or any other fees that may be due with respect to this paper may be charged to Deposit Account No. 50-2866.

Respectfully submitted,

**WESTERMAN, HATTORI, DANIELS & ADRIAN, LLP**



Kenneth H. Salen  
Attorney for Applicants  
Registration No. 43,077  
Telephone: (202) 822-1100  
Facsimile: (202) 822-1111

KHS/rf